arXiv:1605.01202v1 [math.OA] 4 May 2016

A DYNAMICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF DIAGONAL PRESERVING *-ISOMORPHISMS OF GRAPH C^*-ALGEBRAS

SARA E. ARKLINT, SØREN EILERS, AND EFREN RUIZ

Abstract. We characterize when there exists a diagonal preserving *-isomorphism between two graph C^*-algebras in terms of the dynamics of the boundary path spaces. In particular, we refine the notion of "orbit equivalence" between the boundary path spaces of the directed graphs E and F and show that this is a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a diagonal preserving *-isomorphism between the graph C^*-algebras $C^*(E)$ and $C^*(F)$.

1. Introduction

The notion of *continuous orbit equivalence*, pioneered by Matsumoto ([Mat13]), has proven to be an extremely important vehicle for understanding the relationship between dynamical systems and the C^*-algebras that they define. Indeed, this concept was a key ingredient which allowed Matsumoto and Matui to prove that the stabilized Cuntz-Krieger algebras become complete invariants for flow equivalence of irreducible shifts of finite type when considered not just as C^*-algebras, but as C^*-algebras containing a canonical commutative subalgebra, the *diagonal*. The key result in [MM14] thus gave an extremely elegant answer to the question that has been left open since Rørdam as a key step in the proof of his seminal classification result [Rør95] showed that two such shift spaces can give the same Cuntz-Krieger algebra without being flow equivalent: The diagonal is precisely the extra structure which is needed for the C^*-algebra to remember its underlying shift space.

The success of the approach of Matsumoto and Matui begs the question of whether or not similar results hold true for more general C^*-algebras such as non-simple Cuntz-Krieger algebras and (simple or non-simple) graph C^*-algebras, objects which are currently (see [ERRS16]) giving way to classification in a way paralelling Rørdam's results. In a sweeping generalization of Matsumoto's fundamental result, Brownlowe, Carlsen and Whittaker in [BCW14] showed that continuous orbit equivalence exactly translates to diagonal-preserving isomorphism of the graph C^*-algebras for any graph with the so-called *Condition (L)*, and proved by example that this condition is necessary.

In the paper at hand, we will study *continuous orbit equivalences preserving eventually periodic points* and prove that they exactly correspond to diagonal-preserving isomorphism of graph C^*-algebras. This small adjustment of the notions studied in [MM14] and [BCW14] thus allow a complete understanding also when Condition (L) fails. In particular, we prove that the original notion of orbit equivalence corresponds to diagonal-preserving isomorphism when the graphs are finite and have no sinks, a case prominently containing the classical Cuntz-Krieger case.

Our method of proof involves reducing the general case to the Condition (L) case and hence to the main result of [BCW14] by an elaboration the concept of "plugging" and "unplugging" graphs introduced in [ERRS16].

Date: May 5, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 46L55; Secondary: 46L35, 37B10.

Key words and phrases. Orbit equivalence, diagonal preserving *-isomorphism.

After having circulated an early version of this paper, we were made aware that our main result had been simultaneously obtained by Carlsen and Winger ([CW16]) by completely different methods.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we provide the definitions of the objects considered in this paper. We start with some background on directed graphs, graph C^*-algebras and their diagonal subalgebras. The definitions of the boundary path space and the graph groupoid of a directed graph are also provided.

2.1. **Graph C^*-algebras and the diagonal subalgebra.** A *directed graph* $E = (E^0, E^1, r, s)$ consists of sets E^0 and E^1 and functions, $r, s\colon E^1 \to E^0$ called the range and source maps, respectively. The elements of E^0 are called the *vertices* of E and the elements of E^1 are called the *edges* of E.

Assumption 2.1. *Throughout the paper, unless stated otherwise, when we say a graph we mean a directed graph. Moreover, we will only consider graphs such that the set of vertices and the set of edges are countable sets.*

Let E be a graph. A *path of length* n in E is a finite sequence $\mu = e_1e_2\dots e_n$ with $e_i \in E^1$ and $r(e_i) = s(e_{i+1})$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. We will regard the vertices E^0 of E as paths of length zero. Denote the set of paths of length n in E by E^n. Set $E^* = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} E^n$ and set $E^{\geq k} = \bigcup_{n\geq k} E^n$. We extend the range and source maps to E^* by $r(v) = s(v) = v$ for $v \in E^0$, and $s(e_1\cdots e_n) = s(e_1)$ and $r(e_1\cdots e_n) = r(e_n)$.

A *loop* in E is an edge e in E such that $s(e) = r(e)$. A *cycle* in E is a path $\mu \in E^{\geq 1}$ such that $s(\mu) = r(\mu)$. A cycle $e_1e_2\cdots e_n$ in E is said to have an *exit* if there exists an $f \in E^1$ such that $s(e_k) = s(f)$ for some $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ with $f \neq e_k$. A *vertex-simple cycle* in E is a cycle $\mu = e_1e_2\cdots e_n$ such that $r(e_i) \neq r(e_j)$ for all $i \neq j$. A *return path* in E is a cycle $\mu = e_1e_2\cdots e_n$ such that $r(e_i) \neq r(\mu)$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

An *infinite path* in E is an infinite sequence $(e_n)_{n=1}^\infty$, denoted $e_1e_2\cdots$, such that $e_i \in E^1$ and $r(e_i) = s(e_{i+1})$ for all i. The set of infinite paths in E will be denoted by E^∞. If e is a loop and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then e^n will denote the cycle of length n with edges equal to e and e^∞ will denote the infinite path with edges equal to e. If μ is a cycle in E, then μ^∞ denotes the infinite path $\mu\mu\mu\cdots$.

Definition 2.2. A graph E is said to have *Condition (L)* if every cycle in E has an exit.

Let V, W be subsets of E^0, S a subset of $E^* \cup E^\infty$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Define subsets of $E^* \cup E^\infty$, labelled VS, SW, and VSW, by $VS = \{\mu \in S \mid s(\mu) \in V\}$, $SW = \{\mu \in S \mid r(\mu) \in W\}$, and $VSW = VS \cap SW$. Note that SW and VSW are subsets of E^* since the range map is only defined on E^*. We will write vS if $V = \{v\}$. Similarly for SW and VSW. A vertex $v \in E^0$ is called *regular* if $0 < |vE^1| < \infty$. Denote the set of regular vertices in E by E^0_{reg}. A *singular vertex* is a vertex v in E that is not regular. We denote the set of singular vertices by E^0_{sing}. A vertex v in E is called a *sink* if $|vE^1| = 0$ and is called an *infinite emitter* if $|vE^1| = \infty$. Denote the set of sinks by E^0_{sink} and the set of infinite emitters by E^0_{inf}. Hence, $E^0_{\mathrm{sing}} = E^0_{\mathrm{sink}} \cup E^0_{\mathrm{inf}}$.

We call an infinite path $e_1e_2\cdots$ in E a *tail* if $s(e_i)E^1 = \{e_i\} = E^1s(e_{i+1})$, and *non-wandering* if $s(e_i)E^1 = \{e_i\}$. If $\mu \in E^*$ is a cycle with no exits, then μ^∞ is non-wandering.

Definition 2.3. Let E be a graph. A *Cuntz-Krieger E-family* in a C^*-algebra A consists of a set of mutually orthogonal projections $\{P_v \mid v \in E^0\} \subseteq A$ and a set of partial isometries $\{S_e \mid e \in E^1\} \subseteq A$ satisfying

(CK1) $S_e^* S_f = 0$ for all $e, f \in E^1$ with $e \neq f$;
(CK2) $S_e^* S_e = P_{r(e)}$ for all $e \in E^1$;
(CK3) $S_e S_e^* \leq P_{s(e)}$ for all $e \in E^1$; and
(CK4) $P_v = \sum_{e \in vE^1} S_e S_e^*$ for all $v \in E^0_{\text{reg}}$.

The *graph C^*-algebra* $C^*(E)$ is the universal C^*-algebra generated by a Cuntz-Krieger E-family.

If $\mu = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n \in E^{\geq 2}$, we set $s_\mu = s_{e_1} s_{e_2} \cdots s_{e_n}$ and for $v \in E^0$, we set $s_v = p_v$. Then the C^*-subalgebra $\overline{\text{span}}\left\{ s_\mu s_\mu^* \;\middle|\; \mu \in E^* \right\}$ of $C^*(E)$ is called the *diagonal subalgebra* of $C^*(E)$ and is denoted by $\mathcal{D}(E)$.

Definition 2.4. Let E and F be graphs. A *-isomorphism $\Phi\colon C^*(E) \to C^*(F)$ is a *diagonal preserving *-isomorphism* if $\Phi(\mathcal{D}(E)) = \mathcal{D}(F)$.

2.2. **Boundary path space and the graph groupoid of a graph.** The definitions given in this section follows that of [BCW14, Section 2.2 and 2.3].

Definition 2.5. Let E be a graph. The *boundary path space* of E is the space

$$\partial E = E^\infty \sqcup \left\{ \mu \in E^* \;\middle|\; r(\mu) \in E^0_{\text{sing}} \right\}.$$

The topology on ∂E is given as follows: For $\mu \in E^*$, the *cylinder set* of μ is the set

$$\mathcal{Z}_E(\mu) = \{ \mu x \in \partial E \mid x \in r(\mu)\partial E \},$$

where μx is the concatenation of paths. For $\mu \in E^*$ and a finite subset F of $r(\mu)E^1$, set

$$\mathcal{Z}_E(\mu \backslash F) = \mathcal{Z}_E(\mu) \backslash \left(\bigcup_{e \in F} \mathcal{Z}_E(\mu e) \right).$$

(When there is no cause for confusion, we will at times omit the subscripts.) The topology of ∂E is the topology generated by

$$\mathcal{B}_E = \left\{ \mathcal{Z}_E(\mu \backslash F) \;\middle|\; \mu \in E^*, \, F \text{ a finite subset of } r(\mu)E^1 \right\}.$$

The boundary path space ∂E is a locally compact Hausdorff space with basis $\mathcal{B}_E$ and every $U \in \mathcal{B}_E$ is compact and open (see [Web14, Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2]).

The key relationship between ∂E and $\mathcal{D}(E)$ is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.6 ([Web14, Theorem 3.7])**.** *There exists a unique homeomorphism h_E from ∂E to the spectrum of $\mathcal{D}(E)$ given by*

$$h_E(x)(s_\mu s_\mu^*) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{Z}(\mu) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.7. *Let E be a graph and let S be a subset of E^0_{sink}. Then S is a clopen (i.e., closed and open) subset of ∂E.*

Proof. First note that for all $v \in E^0_{\text{sink}}$ and for all $\mu \in E^*$ and F finite subset of $r(\mu)E^1$, $v \in \mathcal{Z}_E(\mu \backslash F)$ if and only if $v = \mu$ and $F = \emptyset$. In particular, for all $v \in E^0_{\text{sink}}$, $\mathcal{Z}_E(v) = \{v\}$. Therefore, $S = \bigcup_{v \in S} \mathcal{Z}_E(v)$, and hence open. Using again that the only cylinder set that contains a sink v is $\{v\}$, we conclude that if $x \in \partial E \backslash S$, then any cylinder set $\mathcal{Z}_E(\mu)$ containing x will satisfy $\mathcal{Z}_E(\mu) \subseteq \partial E \backslash S$. So, $\partial E \backslash S$ is open which implies that S is closed. □

If $x \in \partial E$, then set

$$|x| = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } x \in E^\infty \\ n & \text{if } x \in E^n \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \end{cases}$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, set $\partial E^{\geq n} = \{x \in \partial E \mid |x| \geq n\}$. Note that $\partial E^{\geq n}$ is an open subset of ∂E since $\partial E^{\geq n}$ is equal to $\bigcup_{\mu \in E^n} \mathcal{Z}(\mu)$.

Definition 2.8. Let E be a graph. Define the *shift map* $\sigma_E\colon \partial E^{\geq 1} \to \partial E$ on E by

$$\sigma_E(x) = \begin{cases} e_2e_3\cdots & \text{if } x = e_1e_2\cdots \in \partial E^{\geq 2} \\ r(x) & \text{if } x \in \partial E \cap E^1. \end{cases}$$

For $n \geq 1$, $\sigma_E^n\colon \partial E^{\geq n} \to \partial E$ will be the n-fold composition of σ_E with itself and $\sigma_E^0\colon \partial E \to \partial E$ will be the identity map.

One can check that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, σ_E^n is continuous and moreover, σ_E^n is a local homeomorphism.

We now define the graph groupoid of a graph E.

Definition 2.9. Let E be a graph. The *graph groupoid* $\mathcal{G}_E$ is defined as follows: As a set,

$$\mathcal{G}_E = \{(x, m-n, y) \mid x, y \in \partial E \text{ with } |x| \geq m,\ |y| \geq n, \text{ and } \sigma_E^m(x) = \sigma_E^n(y)\}.$$

The product is defined by $(x,k,y)(w,l,z) = (x,k+l,z)$ if $y = w$ and undefined otherwise, and the inverse of (x,k,y) is $(y,-k,x)$. The set of units $\mathcal{G}_E^{(0)}$ of $\mathcal{G}_E$ is $\{(x,0,x) \mid x \in \partial E\}$.

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, U be an open subset of $\partial E^{\geq m}$ such that $\sigma_E^m|_U$ is injective, and V be an open subset of $\partial E^{\geq n}$ such that $\sigma_E^n|_V$ is injective. Suppose $\sigma_E^m(U) = \sigma_E^n(V)$. Set

$$\mathcal{Z}_E(U,m,n,V) = \{(x, m-n, y) \in \mathcal{G}_E \mid x \in U, y \in V, \sigma_E^m(x) = \sigma_E^n(y)\}.$$

(When there is no cause for confusion, we will at times omit the subscripts.) Then $\mathcal{G}_E$ is a locally compact, Hausdorff, étale topological groupoid with the topology generated by the basis consisting of sets $\mathcal{Z}_E(U,m,n,V)$.

One checks that the map $\mu \in \partial E \mapsto (\mu, 0, \mu) \in \mathcal{G}_E^{(0)}$ is a homeomorphism from ∂E to $\mathcal{G}_E^{(0)}$. We will freely identify $\mathcal{G}_E^{(0)}$ with ∂E using this map throughout the paper without further mention. Thus, we have range and source maps $r, s\colon \mathcal{G}_E \to \partial E$ defined by $r((x,k,y)) = x$ and $s((x,k,y)) = y$.

By [ADR00, Proposition 3.3.5 and 6.1.8], the reduced and universal C^*-algebra of $\mathcal{G}_E$ are equal since $\mathcal{G}_E$ is topologically amenable (see [Yee07, Proposition 6.2]). We denote this C^*-algebra by $C^*(\mathcal{G}_E)$.

Theorem 2.10 ([BCW14, Proposition 2.2])**.** *If E is a graph, then there exists a unique *-isomorphism $\Phi_E\colon C^*(E) \to C^*(\mathcal{G}_E)$ such that $\Phi_E(p_v) = 1_{\mathcal{Z}(v,v)}$ for all $v \in E^0$ and $\Phi_E(s_e) = 1_{\mathcal{Z}(e,r(e))}$ for all $e \in E^1$, and such that $\Phi_E(\mathcal{D}(E)) = C_0(\mathcal{G}_E^{(0)})$.*

3. Orbit equivalence preserving periodic points and pseudogroups

We now define orbit equivalence between graphs E and F preserving periodic points. When E and F are graphs with finitely many vertices and no sinks, or when E and F are graphs satisfying Condition (L), then this notion of orbit equivalence coincides with the notion of orbit equivalence defined in [BCW14, Definiton 3.1].

3.1. Orbit equivalence preserving periodic points.

Let E be a graph, and let ∂E_{iso} denote the set of isolated points in ∂E.

Definition 3.1. Let E be a graph. Then $x \in \partial E$ is *eventually periodic* if $x = \mu\nu^\infty$ where $\mu \in E^*$, ν is a cycle in E, and $r(\mu) = s(\nu)$.

Note that an eventually periodic point $x \in \partial E$ is an isolated point if and only if $x = \mu\nu^\infty$ where $\mu \in E^*$ and $\nu \in E^*$ is a cycle with no exits satisfying $r(\mu) = s(\nu)$.

We call $x \in \partial E$ *eventually a sink* if $x \in E^*$ with $r(x) \in E^0_{\text{sink}}$, and call x *eventually non-wandering* if $x = \mu y$ where $\mu \in E^*$ and $y \in r(\mu)E^\infty$ is non-wandering.

The isolated points in ∂E are exactly the points that are eventually sinks or eventually non-wandering. Clearly, the eventually periodic isolated points are exactly the eventually periodic eventually non-wandering points. We will refer to the eventually non-wandering points that are are not eventually periodic as *eventually non-periodic non-wandering*.

Definition 3.2. Let E and F be graphs and let $\kappa\colon \partial E \to \partial F$ be a homeomorphism. We say that κ is an *orbit equivalence* if there exist continuous functions $l, m\colon \partial E^{\geq 1} \to \mathbb{N}_0$ and $l', m'\colon \partial F^{\geq 1} \to \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

(1) $\sigma_F^{m(x)}(\kappa(\sigma_E(x))) = \sigma_F^{l(x)}(\kappa(x))$ for all $x \in \partial E^{\geq 1}$; and
(2) $\sigma_E^{m'(y)}(\kappa^{-1}(\sigma_F(y))) = \sigma_E^{l'(y)}(\kappa^{-1}(y))$ for all $y \in \partial F^{\geq 1}$.

If such a κ exists, we say that E and F are *orbit equivalent* or there exists an *orbit equivalence between E and F*.

If, in addition, κ satisfies

(3) for all $x \in \partial E_{\text{iso}}$, x is eventually periodic if and only if $\kappa(x)$ is eventually periodic,

then we say that κ is an *orbit equivalence preserving periodic points*. If such a κ exists, then we say that there exists an *orbit equivalence between E and F preserving periodic points*.

All eventually non-wandering points in ∂E are eventually periodic if the graph E has finitely many vertices. Hence if E and F are graphs with finitely many vertices and no sinks, an orbit equivalence between E and F will automatically preserve periodic points. Likewise, if E and F are graphs satisfying Condition (L), any orbit equivalence between E and F will preserve periodic points, as E and F contain no eventually periodic isolated points.

In general, if E and F are orbit equivalent graphs, there may not exist an orbit equivalence between E and F preserving periodic points, as all three types of isolated points may be interchanged by an orbit equivalence. Consider the graphs



By [BCW14, Example 5.2], E and F are orbit equivalent but there is no orbit equivalence between E and F preserving periodic points, as the isolated point in ∂E is a sink while the isolated point in ∂F is periodic. In Example 3.3 we provide examples of orbit equivalences that interchange eventually periodic points with eventually non-periodic non-wandering points, and eventually sinks with eventually non-periodic non-wondering points.

Example 3.3. Consider the graphs E, F and G:



Then all points in ∂E are eventually periodic isolated points, all points in ∂F are eventually non-periodic non-wandering, and all points in ∂G are eventually sinks. We now show that E, F, and G are orbit equivalent.

Define $\kappa_1\colon \partial E \to \partial F$ and $\kappa_2\colon \partial F \to \partial G$ by $\kappa_1(e_i\cdots e_1 f^\infty) = e_{i+1}e_{i+2}\cdots$ for $i \geq 1$ and $\kappa_1(f^\infty) = e_1e_2\cdots$, and $\kappa_2(e_ie_{i+1}\cdots) = e_{i-1}\cdots e_1$ for $i \geq 2$ and $\kappa_2(e_1e_2\cdots) = v$. Clearly, κ_1 and κ_2 are bijective, and they are continuous and open since ∂E, ∂F, and ∂G carry the discrete topologies. One readily checks that $m_1, l_1\colon \partial E^{\geq 1} \to \mathbb{N}_0$ defined by $m_1(f^\infty) = 0$, $m_1(e_i\cdots e_1 f^\infty) = 1$, and $l_1(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \partial E^{\geq 1}$ satisfies

$$\sigma_F^{m_1(x)}(\kappa_1(\sigma_E(x))) = \sigma_F^{l_1(x)}(\kappa_1(x))$$

for all $x \in \partial E^{\geq 1}$, and that $m_1', l_1'\colon \partial F^{\geq 1} \to \mathbb{N}_0$ defined by $m_1'(x) = 1$ and $l_1'(x) = 0$ satisfies

$$\sigma_E^{m_1'(x)}(\kappa_1^{-1}(\sigma_F(x))) = \sigma_E^{l_1'(x)}(\kappa_1^{-1}(x))$$

for all $x \in \partial F^{\geq 1}$. So κ_1 is an orbit equivalence, since the maps m_1, l_1, m_1', l_1' are automatically continuous. Similarly, $m_2, l_2\colon \partial F^{\geq 1} \to \mathbb{N}_0$ defined by $m_2(x) = 1$ and $l_2(x) = 0$, and $m_2', l_2'\colon \partial G^{\geq 1} \to \mathbb{N}_0$ defined by $m_2'(x) = 1$ and $l_2'(x) = 0$, lets us conclude that κ_2 is an orbit equivalence.

3.2. **The pseudogroup $\mathcal{P}_E$ and the groupoid of germs of $\mathcal{P}_E$.** We now recall the groupoid of germs defined in [Ren08, Section 3].

Let X be a topological space. A homeomorphism $h\colon U \to V$ where U and V are open subsets of X is called a *partial homeomorphism*. Under composition and inverse, the collection of partial homeomorphisms on X is an inverse semigroup. A *pseudogroup* on X is a family of partial homeomorphisms of X stable under composition and inverse.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a pseudogroup on X. A partial homeomorphism $h\colon U \to V$ is said to *locally belong to* $\mathcal{P}$ if for all $x \in U$, there exists an open neighborhood W of x and there exists $g \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $h|_W = g|_W$. The pseudogroup $\mathcal{P}$ is *ample* if each partial homeomorphism $h\colon U \to V$ that locally belongs to $\mathcal{P}$ must also be element in $\mathcal{P}$.

Definition 3.4. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a pseudogroup on the topological space X. The *groupoid of germs* of $\mathcal{P}$ is

$$\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{P} = \{[x, h, y] \mid h \in \mathcal{P}, y \in \mathrm{dom}(h), x = h(y)\}$$

where $[x, h, y] = [x, g, y]$ if and only if there exists a neighborhood V of y in X such that $h|_V = g|_V$.

The range and source maps are given by

$$r([x, h, y]) = x \quad \text{and} \quad s([x, h, y]) = y.$$

The partially defined product is $[x, h, y][y, g, z] = [x, h \circ g, z]$, undefined otherwise and the inverse $[x, h, y]^{-1} = [y, h^{-1}, x]$. The groupoid $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{P}$ is given the topology given by basic open sets

$$\mathcal{U}(U, h, V) = \{[x, g, y] \in \mathcal{G}_\mathcal{P} \mid x \in U, y \in V\}$$

where U and V are open subsets of X and $h \in \mathcal{P}$.

We recall how to construct a pseudogroup from an étale groupoid $\mathcal{G}$. A subset A of a groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ is called a *bisection* if $r|_A$ and $s|_A$ are injective functions. Then the set of all open bisections $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{G})$ forms an inverse semigroup with composition law

$$AB = \left\{\gamma\gamma' \;\middle|\; (\gamma, \gamma') \in (A \times B) \cap \mathcal{G}^{(2)}\right\}$$

and

$$A^{-1} = \left\{\gamma^{-1} \;\middle|\; \gamma \in A\right\}.$$

Let A be an open bisection. Then define $\alpha_A\colon s(A) \to r(A)$ by $\alpha_A(s(\gamma)) = r(\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in A$. One checks that α_A is a homeomorphism. Then the pseudogroup on $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ is

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}) = \{\alpha_A \mid A \text{ is an open bisection}\}.$$

Assumption 3.5. *Isomorphisms between topological groupoids are isomorphisms between groupoids that are also homeomorphisms.*

The following proposition follows from [Ren08, Proposition 3.6] and the proofs of [Ren08, Proposition 3.2 and Corollary 3.3].

Proposition 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be an étale groupoid. Define $\varphi_{\mathcal{G}}\colon \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G})}$ by*

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{G}}(\gamma) = [r(\gamma), \alpha_A, s(\gamma)]$$

where A is an open bisection containing γ. Then $\varphi_{\mathcal{G}}$ is a well-defined surjective homomorphism of groupoids. Moreover, if $\mathcal{G}$ is Hausdorff and topologically principal, then $\varphi_{\mathcal{G}}$ is an isomorphism.

As an immediate consequence, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.7. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ be étale groupoids. Suppose there exists a homeomorphism $\kappa\colon \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \to \mathcal{H}^{(0)}$ such that*

$$\kappa \circ \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}) \circ \kappa^{-1} := \left\{\kappa \circ g \circ \kappa^{-1} \;\middle|\; g \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G})\right\} = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Then there exists an isomorphism $\psi_\kappa\colon \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G})} \to \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})}$ defined by

$$\psi_\kappa([x, g, y]) = [\kappa(x), \kappa \circ g \circ \kappa^{-1}, \kappa(y)].$$

Consequently, if $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ are Hausdorff and topological principal, then ψ_κ induces an isomorphism $\widetilde{\psi}_\kappa\colon \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H}$ such that $\widetilde{\psi}_\kappa|_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} = \kappa$.

Of particular interest to us is the pseudogroup of the étale groupoid $\mathcal{G}_E$ for a graph E. So, for a graph E, we denote $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}_E)$ by $\mathcal{P}_E$ and we call $\mathcal{P}_E$ the *pseudogroup of E*.

In [BCW14, Proposition 3.4], the authors prove that E and F are orbit equivalent if and only if the pseudogroups of E and F are isomorphic, i.e., there exists a homeomorphism $\kappa\colon \partial E \to \partial F$ such that

$$\kappa \circ \mathcal{P}_E \circ \kappa_E^{-1} = \left\{\kappa \circ g \circ \kappa^{-1} \;\middle|\; g \in \mathcal{P}_E\right\} = \mathcal{P}_F.$$

They actually prove a stronger statement in the sense that the orbit equivalence between E and F induces the isomorphism between the pseudogroups of E and F and vice versa. We record this in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.8 ([BCW14, Proposition 3.4])**.** *Let E and F be graphs and κ from ∂E to ∂F be a homeomorphism. Then κ is an orbit equivalence (preserving periodic points) if and only if $\kappa \circ \mathcal{P}_E \circ \kappa^{-1} = \mathcal{P}_F$ (and for all $x \in \partial E_{\mathrm{iso}}$, x is eventually periodic if and only if $\kappa(x)$ is eventually periodic).*

Proposition 3.9. *For all graphs E_1, E_2, and E_3, if κ_1 from ∂E_1 to ∂E_2 and κ_2 from ∂E_2 to ∂E_3 are orbit equivalences (preserving periodic points), then $\kappa_2 \circ \kappa_1$ from ∂E_1 to ∂E_3 is an orbit equivalence (preserving periodic points).*

Proof. Let E_1, E_2, and E_3 be graphs. Suppose $\kappa_1\colon \partial E_1 \to \partial E_2$ and $\kappa_2\colon \partial E_2 \to \partial E_3$ are orbit equivalences. By Proposition 3.8, we have $\kappa_1 \circ \mathcal{P}_{E_1} \circ \kappa_1^{-1} = \mathcal{P}_{E_2}$ and $\kappa_2 \circ \mathcal{P}_{E_2} \circ \kappa_2^{-1} = \mathcal{P}_{E_3}$. It follows that $(\kappa_2 \circ \kappa_1) \circ \mathcal{P}_{E_1} \circ (\kappa_2 \circ \kappa_1)^{-1} = \mathcal{P}_{E_3}$. Thus, by Proposition 3.8, $\kappa_2 \circ \kappa_1\colon \partial E_1 \to \partial E_3$ is an orbit equivalence.

Suppose κ_1 and κ_2 are orbit equivalences preserving periodic points. Then, as above $(\kappa_2 \circ \kappa_1) \circ \mathcal{P}_{E_1} \circ (\kappa_2 \circ \kappa_1)^{-1} = \mathcal{P}_{E_3}$. Moreover, since κ_1 and κ_2 are orbit equivalences preserving periodic points, for all isolated points x in ∂E_1, x is

eventually periodic if and only if $\kappa_1(x)$ is eventually periodic if and only if $(\kappa_2 \circ \kappa_1)(x)$ is eventually periodic.

□

We are now able to prove a stronger version of [BCW14, Theorem 5.1]. This version will be important for us in the proof of Theorem 5.3.

Theorem 3.10 (cf. [BCW14, Theorem 5.1])**.** *Let E and F be graphs satisfying Condition (L). Suppose $\kappa\colon \partial E \to \partial F$ is an orbit equivalence from E to F. Then there exists an isomorphism $\varphi\colon \mathcal{G}_E \to \mathcal{G}_F$ such that $\varphi|_{\partial E} = \kappa$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.8, $\kappa \circ \mathcal{P}_E \circ \kappa^{-1} = \mathcal{P}_F$. Since E and F satisfy Condition (L), by [BCW14, Proposition 2.3], $\mathcal{G}_E$ and $\mathcal{G}_F$ are topologically principal. By Corollary 3.7, there exists an isomorphism $\varphi\colon \mathcal{G}_E \to \mathcal{G}_F$ such that $\varphi|_{\partial E} = \kappa$. □

3.3. **The unplugged graph and orbit equivalence.** For a graph E, let E^0_{cycle} be the set of vertices of E that is on a vertex-simple cycle with no exits. Suppose E satisfies the property that if ν is a vertex-simple cycle with no exits, then ν is a loop. This entails that every vertex $v \in E^0_{\text{cycle}}$ supports a unique loop e_v. Note that if $v \in E^0_{\text{cycle}}$ and $e \in E^1$ such that $s(e) = v$, then $e = e_v$. Denote the set of all loops based at a vertex in E^0_{cycle} by E^1_{cycle}. Note that $s(E^1_{\text{cycle}}) = r(E^1_{\text{cycle}}) = E^0_{\text{cycle}}$. Also note that if $e, f \in E^1_{\text{cycle}}$ with $s(e) = s(f)$ (equivalently, $r(e) = r(f)$), then $e = f$.

Let E be a graph such that all vertex-simple cycles with no exits are loops. Let the *unplugged graph* E_Υ of E be the graph defined by

$$E^0_\Upsilon = E^0 \quad \text{and} \quad E^1_\Upsilon = E^1 \backslash E^1_{\text{cycle}}$$

with the range and source maps of E_Υ the restrictions of the range and source maps of E respectively.

Proposition 3.11. *Let E be a graph such that each vertex-simple cycle with no exits is a loop. Define $\kappa_E\colon \partial E_\Upsilon \to \partial E$ by*

$$\kappa_E(x) = \begin{cases} xe^\infty_{r(x)}, & \text{if } x \in E^*_\Upsilon \text{ with } r(x) \in E^0_{\text{cycle}} \\ x, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then κ_E is an orbit equivalence such that for each isolated point $x \in \partial E_\Upsilon$, $r_{E_\Upsilon}(x) \in E^0_{\text{cycle}}$ if and only if $\kappa_E(x)$ is an isolated point in ∂E that is eventually periodic.

Proof. A computation shows that κ_E is a bijection with $\kappa_E^{-1}(x) = \mu$ when $x = \mu e^\infty_{r(\mu)}$ for some $\mu = e_1 \cdots e_n$ with $r(\mu) \in E^0_{\text{cycle}}$ and $e_n \neq e_{r(\mu)}$, and $\kappa_E^{-1}(x) = x$ for all other x. Let $\mu = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n \in E^*$. Suppose $\mu \in E^*_\Upsilon$. Then

$$\kappa_E^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_E(\mu)) = \{\mu x \in \partial E_\Upsilon \mid x \in r(\mu)\partial E_\Upsilon\} = \mathcal{Z}_{E_\Upsilon}(\mu)$$

which is open. Suppose $\mu \notin E^*_\Upsilon$. Let $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq i_0 \leq n$ such that $e_{i_0} \in E^1_{\text{cycle}}$ and $e_j \notin E^1_{\text{cycle}}$ for all $j < i_0$. Then $e_{i_0} = e_k$ for all $i_0 \leq k \leq n$. Therefore, $\mathcal{Z}_E(\mu) = \mathcal{Z}_E(e_1 \cdots e_{i_0-1}) = \{e_1 \cdots e_{i_0-1} e^\infty_{i_0}\}$, where $e_1 \cdots e_{i_0-1} = s(\mu)$ if $i_0 = 1$. Hence,

$$\kappa_E^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_E(\mu)) = \kappa_E^{-1}(\{e_1 \cdots e_{i_0-1} e^\infty_{i_0}\}) = \{e_1 \cdots e_{i_0-1}\} = \mathcal{Z}_{E_\Upsilon}(e_1 \cdots e_{i_0-1})$$

which is open. Let F be a finite nonempty subset of $r(\mu)E^1$. If $r(\mu) \in E^0_{\text{cycle}}$ then $F = \{e_{r(\mu)}\}$ so $\mathcal{Z}_E(\mu \backslash F) = \emptyset$, hence $\kappa_E^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_E(\mu \backslash F))$ is trivially open. If

$r(\mu) \notin E^0_{\text{cycle}}$ then $\mu \in E^*_\Upsilon$ and $F \subseteq r(\mu)E^1_\Upsilon$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_E^{-1}\left(\mathcal{Z}_E(\mu\backslash F)\right) &= \kappa_E^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_E(\mu))\backslash\left(\bigcup_{e\in F}\kappa_E^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_E(\mu e))\right)\\ &= \mathcal{Z}_{E_\Upsilon}(\mu)\backslash\left(\bigcup_{e\in F}\mathcal{Z}_{E_\Upsilon}(\mu e)\right) = \mathcal{Z}_{E_\Upsilon}(\mu\backslash F)\end{aligned}$$

which is open. We have just shown that κ_E is continuous.

Let $\mu \in E^*_\Upsilon$. Then $\kappa_E(\mathcal{Z}_{E_\Upsilon}(\mu)) = \mathcal{Z}_E(\mu)$. Let F be a finite subset of $r(\mu)E^1_\Upsilon$. Then $\kappa_E(\mathcal{Z}_{E_\Upsilon}(\mu e)) = \mathcal{Z}_E(\mu e)$ for all $e \in F$, hence

$$\kappa_E(\mathcal{Z}_{E_\Upsilon}(\mu\backslash F)) = \mathcal{Z}_E(\mu)\backslash\left(\bigcup_{e\in F}\mathcal{Z}_E(\mu e)\right) = \mathcal{Z}_E(\mu\backslash F),$$

which is open. Hence, κ_E is an open map. Therefore, κ_E is a homeomorphism.

Define $m\colon \partial E^{\geq 1} \to \mathbb{N}_0$ by

$$m(y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } y \in \kappa_E(E^0_{\text{cycle}})\\ 1, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Since $E^0_{\text{cycle}} \subseteq (E_\Upsilon)^0_{\text{sink}}$, by Lemma 2.7, E^0_{cycle} is clopen in ∂E_Υ. Since κ_E is a homeomorphism, $\kappa_E(E^0_{\text{cycle}})$ is clopen in ∂E, so m is continuous.

A computation shows that

$$\sigma_E(\kappa_E(x)) = \kappa_E(\sigma_{E_\Upsilon}(x)) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{E_\Upsilon}^{m(y)}(\kappa_E^{-1}(y)) = \kappa_E^{-1}(\sigma_E(y)).$$

Therefore, κ_E is an orbit equivalence. The last part of the proposition follows immediately from the construction of κ_E. □

The next lemma shows that we can adjust an orbit equivalence so that sinks are sent to sinks. This will be used in the proof of Theorem 5.3 to construct a diagonal preserving *-isomorphism. Note that if $\mu \in E^*$ such that $r(\mu)$ is a sink, then $\mu \in \partial E_{\text{iso}}$.

Lemma 3.12. *Let E be a graph and let F be a subset of $E^{\geq 1}$ such that*

(1) for all $\mu \in F$, $r(\mu) \in E^0_{\text{sink}}$,
(2) for all $\mu, \nu \in F$, $r(\mu) = r(\nu)$ if and only if $\mu = \nu$, and
(3) F is a closed subset of ∂E.

Define $\kappa\colon \partial E \to \partial E$ by

$$\kappa(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \notin F \cup r(F)\\ r(x) & \text{if } x \in F\\ \mu & \text{if } x = r(\mu) \text{ for some } \mu \in F.\end{cases}$$

Then κ is an orbit equivalence.

Proof. We must show that κ is a homeomorphism and there exist continuous functions $l, m\colon \partial E^{\geq 1} \to \mathbb{N}_0$ and $l', m'\colon \partial E^{\geq 1} \to \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$\sigma_E^{m(x)}(\kappa(\sigma_E(x))) = \sigma_E^{l(x)}(\kappa(x)) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_E^{m'(x)}(\kappa^{-1}(\sigma_E(x))) = \sigma_E^{l'(x)}(\kappa^{-1}(x))$$

for all $x \in \partial E^{\geq 1}$. A computation shows that $\kappa \circ \kappa = \text{id}$. Hence, it is enough to show that κ is continuous and there exist $l, m\colon \partial E^{\geq 1} \to \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$\sigma_E^{m(x)}(\kappa(\sigma_E(x))) = \sigma_E^{l(x)}(\kappa(x))$$

for all $x \in \partial E^{\geq 1}$.

Since $r(F) \subseteq E^0_{\text{sink}}$, by Lemma 2.7, $r(F)$ is a clopen subset of ∂E. Since $F \subseteq \partial E_{\text{iso}}$, F is open in ∂E. By Assumption (3), F is closed in ∂E. As F,

$r(F)$ and thereby also $\partial E\setminus(F\cup r(F))$ are clopen, it suffices to check for continuity on the three sets individually. Since F and $r(F)$ consist of isolated points and thereby carry the discrete subspace topology, κ is automatically continuous on F and $r(F)$. Since κ restricts to the identity on $\partial E\setminus(F\cup r(F))$, κ is also continuous on $\partial E\setminus(F\cup r(F))$. So κ is continuous and hence a homeomorphism.

We now produce continuous functions $l,m\colon \partial E^{\geq 1}\to\mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$\sigma_E^{m(x)}(\kappa(\sigma_E(x)))=\sigma_E^{l(x)}(\kappa(x))$$

for all $x\in\partial E^{\geq 1}$. Note that $F\subseteq E^*$ (paths of finite length). For each $v\in r(F)$, we will denote the unique element in F with range v by μ_v. Note that if $x\in\sigma_E^{-1}(F)$, then $|x|\geq 2$ since $\sigma_E(x)\in F$ and $F\subseteq\partial E^{\geq 1}$.

Define $l,m\colon\partial E^{\geq 1}\to\mathbb{N}_0$ by

$$l(x)=\begin{cases}0 & \text{if } x\in F,\\ |x| & \text{if } x\in\sigma_E^{-1}(F),\\ 1 & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases}$$

and

$$m(x)=\begin{cases}|\mu_{r(x)}| & \text{if } x\in E^1r(F),\\ |x|-1 & \text{if } x\in F\cap\partial E^{\geq 2},\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

We first show that l is continuous. Since F is clopen in $\partial E^{\geq 1}$ and σ_E is continuous, we have that $\sigma_E^{-1}(F)$ is a clopen subset of $\partial E^{\geq 1}$. Since F and $\sigma_E^{-1}(F)$ consist of isolated points, l is automatically continuous on F and $\sigma_E^{-1}(F)$. As l is constant on $\partial E^{\geq 1}\setminus\big(F\cup\sigma_E^{-1}(F)\big)$, l is also continuous on $\partial E^{\geq 1}\setminus\big(F\cup\sigma_E^{-1}(F)\big)$ and thereby on $\partial E^{\geq 1}$.

For continuity of m, we first note that $F\cap\partial E^{\geq 2}$ and $E^1r(F)$ are both clopen in $\partial E^{\geq 1}$ as F and $\partial E^{\geq 2}$ are, and as $E^1r(F)=\sigma_E^{-1}(F)\cap E^1$ with $\partial E^{\geq 1}\cap E^1$ clopen in $\partial E^{\geq 1}$. Since $E^1r(F)$ and $F\cap\partial E^{\geq 2}$ consist of isolated points, they carry the discrete subspace topology, so m is continuous on $E^1r(F)$ and $F\cap\partial E^{\geq 2}$. As m is constant on the complement $\partial E^{\geq 1}\setminus(E^1r(F)\cup(F\cap\partial E^{\geq 2}))$ we conclude that m is continuous.

Let $x\in\partial E^{\geq 1}$. Suppose $x\in E^1r(F)$. Then

$$\sigma_E^{m(x)}(\kappa(\sigma_E(x)))=\sigma_E^{|\mu_{r(x)}|}(\kappa(r(x)))=\sigma_E^{|\mu_{r(x)}|}(\mu_{r(x)})=r(x)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_E^{l(x)}(\kappa(x))&=\begin{cases}\kappa(x) & \text{if } x\in F\\ \sigma_E(\kappa(x)) & \text{if } x\in E^1r(F)\setminus F\end{cases}\\ &=r(x).\end{aligned}$$

Suppose $x\in F\cap\partial E^{\geq 2}$. Then $\sigma_E(x)\notin F$ since $r(\sigma_E(x))=r(x)$ with $x\in F$, and $\sigma_E(x)\notin r(F)$ as $\sigma_E(x)\in\partial E^{\geq 1}$, hence $\kappa(\sigma_E(x))=\sigma_E(x)$. Thus

$$\sigma_E^{m(x)}(\kappa(\sigma_E(x)))=\sigma_E^{|x|-1}(\sigma_E(x))=r(x)$$

and

$$\sigma_E^{l(x)}(\kappa(x))=\sigma_E^0(r(x))=r(x).$$

Suppose $x \notin E^1 r(F)$ and $x \notin F \cap \partial E^{\geq 2}$. Then $x \notin F$ and $\sigma_E(x) \notin r(F)$, so

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_E^{m(x)}(\kappa(\sigma_E(x))) &= \kappa(\sigma_E(x)) \\ &= \begin{cases} r(\sigma_E(x)) & \text{if } x \in \sigma_E^{-1}(F) \\ \sigma_E(x) & \text{if } x \notin \sigma_E^{-1}(F) \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} r(x) & \text{if } x \in \sigma_E^{-1}(F) \\ \sigma_E(x) & \text{if } x \notin \sigma_E^{-1}(F), \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

and $\kappa(x) = x$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_E^{l(x)}(\kappa(x))) &= \begin{cases} \sigma_E^{|x|}(x) & \text{if } x \in \sigma_E^{-1}(F) \\ \sigma_E(x) & \text{if } x \notin \sigma_E^{-1}(F) \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} r(x) & \text{if } x \in \sigma_E^{-1}(F) \\ \sigma_E(x) & \text{if } x \notin \sigma_E^{-1}(F). \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

We have just shown that $l, m \colon \partial E^{\geq 1} \to \mathbb{N}_0$ are continuous functions and

$$\sigma_E^{m(x)}(\kappa(\sigma_E(x))) = \sigma_E^{l(x)}(\kappa(x))$$

for all $x \in \partial E^{\geq 1}$. We conclude that κ is an orbit equivalence. □

4. The extended Weyl groupoid

In [BCW14], the authors prove that a diagonal preserving *-isomorphism between $C^*(E)$ and $C^*(F)$ implies that E and F are orbit equivalent. In this section, we point out that their arguments even prove that the existence of a diagonal preserving *-isomorphism between $C^*(E)$ and $C^*(F)$ implies the existence of an orbit equivalence between E and F preserving periodic points. The arguments are actually contained in [BCW14, Section 4]. For the convenience of the reader, we provide the arguments here.

First we need to recall the extended Weyl groupoid of $(C^*(E), \mathcal{D}(E))$ as defined in [BCW14, Section 4].

Definition 4.1. Let E be a graph. The *normalizer* of $\mathcal{D}(E)$ is defined to be the set

$$N(\mathcal{D}(E)) = \{n \in C^*(E) \mid ndn^*, n^*dn \in \mathcal{D}(E) \text{ for all } d \in \mathcal{D}(E)\}.$$

By [Ren08, Lemma 4.6], for all $n \in N(\mathcal{D}(E))$, nn^* and n^*n are elements in $\mathcal{D}(E)$. Therefore, we may define for $n \in N(\mathcal{D}(E)$, the sets

$$\operatorname{dom}(n) = \{x \in \partial E \mid h_E(x)(n^*n) > 0\}$$

and

$$\operatorname{ran}(n) = \{x \in \partial E \mid h_E(x)(nn^*) > 0\}.$$

By [Ren08, Proposition 4.7], for each $n \in N(\mathcal{D}(E))$, there exists a unique homeomorphism $\alpha_n \colon \operatorname{dom}(n) \to \operatorname{ran}(n)$ such that for all $d \in \mathcal{D}(E)$,

$$h_E(x)(n^*dn) = h_E(\alpha_n(x))(d) h_E(x)(n^*n).$$

For each $x \in \partial E_{\text{iso}}$, we let p_x denote the unique element in $\mathcal{D}(E)$ satisfying $h_E(y)(p_x) = 1$ if $y = x$ and zero otherwise, i.e., p_x is the unique element in $\mathcal{D}(E)$ corresponding to the characteristic function $\chi_{\{x\}} \in C_0(\partial E)$ under the canonical *-isomorphisms $\mathcal{D}(E) \cong C_0(\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{D}(E))) \cong C_0(\partial E)$. By [BCW14, Lemma 4.3], if $x \in \partial E_{\text{iso}}$, then $p_x \mathcal{D}(E) p_x$ is either isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$ (when x is not eventually periodic) or $C(\mathbb{T})$ (when x is eventually periodic).

By [BCW14, Lemma 4.4], for each $x \in \partial E_{\mathrm{iso}}$, $n_1, n_2 \in N(\mathcal{D}(E))$ such that $x \in \mathrm{dom}(n_1) \cap \mathrm{dom}(n_2)$ and $\alpha_{n_1}(x) = \alpha_{n_2}(x)$,

$$U_{(x,n_1,n_2)} = (h_E(x)(n_1^* n_1 n_2^* n_2))^{-1/2} p_x n_1^* n_2 p_x$$

is a unitary in $p_x C^*(E) p_x$.

We define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on $\{(n, x) \mid n \in N(\mathcal{D}(E)), x \in \mathrm{dom}(n)\}$ by $(n_1, x_1) \sim (n_2, x_2)$ if either

(1) $x_1 = x_2 \in \partial E_{\mathrm{iso}}$, $\alpha_{n_1}(x_1) = \alpha_{n_2}(x_2)$, and $[U_{(x_1,n_1,n_2)}] = 0$ in $K_1(p_{x_1} C^*(E) p_{x_1})$
(2) $x_1 = x_2 \notin \partial E_{\mathrm{iso}}$ and there is an open set V such that $x_1 \in V \subseteq \mathrm{dom}(n_1) \cap \mathrm{dom}(n_2)$ and $\alpha_{n_1}(y) = \alpha_{n_2}(y)$ for all $y \in V$.

It is shown in [BCW14, Proposition 4.6] that this relation is in fact an equivalence relation.

Let $\mathcal{G}_{(C^*(E),\mathcal{D}(E))}$ be the collection of equivalence classes. Define a partially defined product by

$$[(n_1, x_1)] \cdot [(n_2, x_2)] = [(n_1 n_2, x_2)] \quad \text{if } \alpha_{n_2}(x_2) = x_1$$

and undefined otherwise, define an inverse map by

$$[(n, x)]^{-1} = [(n^*, \alpha_n(x))].$$

By [BCW14, Proposition 4.7 and Proposition 4.8], $\mathcal{G}_{(C^*(E),\mathcal{D}(E))}$ is a groupoid and is a topological groupoid with the topology generated by

$$\{\{[(n, x)] \mid x \in \mathrm{dom}(n)\} \mid n \in N(\mathcal{D}(E))\}.$$

Moreover, by [BCW14, Proposition 4.8 and its proof], the map φ_E from $\mathcal{G}_E$ to $\mathcal{G}_{(C^*(E),\mathcal{D}(E))}$ defined by

$$\varphi_E((x, k, y)) = [(s_\mu s_\nu^*, y)]$$

where $x = \mu z$, $y = \nu z$, $k = |\mu| - |\nu|$ for some $\mu, \nu \in E^*$ and $z \in \partial E$, and $\{p_v, s_e \mid v \in E^0, e \in E^1\}$ be a Cuntz-Krieger E-family generating $C^*(E)$, is an isomorphism.

Proposition 4.2 (cf. [BCW14, Proposition 4.11])**.** *Let E and F be graphs. Suppose there exists a diagonal preserving *-isomorphism $\Psi\colon C^*(E) \to C^*(F)$. Then there exists an isomorphism $\beta\colon \mathcal{G}_E \to \mathcal{G}_F$ and a homeomorphism $\kappa\colon \partial E \to \partial F$ such that $\beta((\mu, 0, \mu)) = (\kappa(\mu), 0, \kappa(\mu))$ and for all $x \in \partial E_{\mathrm{iso}}$, x is eventually periodic if and only if $\kappa(x)$ is eventually periodic.*

Proof. Let $\{p_v, s_e \mid v \in E^0, e \in E^1\}$ be a Cuntz-Krieger E-family generating $C^*(E)$. Since $\Psi\colon C^*(E) \to C^*(F)$ is a *-isomorphism such that $\Psi(\mathcal{D}(E)) = \mathcal{D}(F)$, there exists a homeomorphism $\kappa\colon \partial E \to \partial F$ such that $h_E(x)(f) = h_F(\kappa(x))\Psi(f)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{D}(E)$ and the map $\lambda\colon \mathcal{G}_{(C^*(E),\mathcal{D}(E))} \to \mathcal{G}_{(C^*(F),\mathcal{D}(E))}$ given by

$$\lambda([(n, x)]) = [(\Psi(x), \kappa(x))]$$

is an isomorphism. Now, $\beta = \varphi_F^{-1} \circ \lambda \circ \varphi_E\colon \mathcal{G}_E \to \mathcal{G}_F$ is an isomorphism.

We claim that $\beta((\mu, 0, \mu)) = ((\kappa(\mu), 0, \kappa(\mu))$ for all $\mu \in \partial E$. Let $\mu \in \partial E$. Then

$$\varphi_F(\beta((\mu, 0, \mu))) = \lambda([(s_\mu s_\mu^*, \mu)]) = [(\Psi(s_\mu s_\mu^*), \kappa(\mu))].$$

Since φ_F is an isomorphism, there exists $(\nu, 0, \nu) \in G_F^{(0)}$ such that $\varphi_F((\nu, 0, \nu)) = [(\Psi(s_\mu s_\mu^*), \kappa(\mu))]$. Since $\varphi_F((\nu, 0, \nu)) = [(s_\nu s_\nu^*, \nu)]$, we have that $\nu = \kappa(\mu)$. Hence, $\varphi_F^{-1}([(\Psi(s_\mu s_\mu^*), \kappa(\mu))]) = (\kappa(\mu), 0, \kappa(\mu))$, thus proving the claim.

We will now show that for all $x \in \partial E_{\mathrm{iso}}$, x is eventually periodic if and only if $\kappa(x)$ is eventually periodic. To do this, we first show that for all $x \in \partial E_{\mathrm{iso}}$, then

$\Psi(p_x) = p_{\kappa(x)}$. Let $x \in \partial E_{\text{iso}}$. Suppose $y \in \partial F$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} h_F(y)(\Psi(p_x)) &= h_E(\kappa^{-1}(y))(p_x) \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \kappa^{-1}(y) = x \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \kappa(x) = y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= h_F(y)(p_{\kappa(x)}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the uniqueness of $p_{\kappa(x)}$, we have that $\psi(p_x) = p_{\kappa(x)}$. Hence, proving the claim.

Let $x \in \partial E_{\text{iso}}$. Then

$$p_{\kappa(x)}\mathcal{D}(F)p_{\kappa(x)} = \Psi(p_x\mathcal{D}(E)p_x),$$

and hence $p_{\kappa(x)}\mathcal{D}(F)p_{\kappa(x)} \cong p_x\mathcal{D}(E)p_x$. Therefore, x is eventually periodic if and only if $p_x\mathcal{D}(E)p_x \cong C(\mathbb{T})$ if and only if $p_{\kappa(x)}\mathcal{D}(F)p_{\kappa(x)} \cong C(\mathbb{T})$ if and only if $\kappa(x)$ is eventually periodic. □

Theorem 4.3. *Let E and F be graphs. Suppose there exists a diagonal preserving *-isomorphism $\Psi\colon C^*(E) \to C^*(F)$. Then there exists an orbit equivalence between E and F preserving periodic points.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.2, there exist an isomorphism $\beta\colon \mathcal{G}_E \to \mathcal{G}_F$ and a homeomorphism $\kappa\colon \partial E \to \partial F$ such that $\beta((\mu, 0, \mu)) = (\kappa(\mu), 0, \kappa(\mu))$ and for all $x \in \partial E_{\text{iso}}$, x is eventually periodic if and only if $\kappa(x)$ is eventually periodic. One can check that $\kappa \circ \mathcal{P}_E \circ \kappa^{-1} = \mathcal{P}_F$. By Proposition 3.8, κ is an orbit equivalence between E and F preserving periodic points. □

5. Main result

Let E be a graph and let S be a subset of E^0_{sink}. Define $E_{\curlywedge,S}$ to be the graph with vertices $E^0_{\curlywedge,S} = E^0$ and edges

$$E^1_{\curlywedge,S} = E^1 \sqcup \{e(v) \mid v \in S\}$$

where the range and source maps extends the range and source maps of E respectively, and $r_{E_{\curlywedge,S}}(e(v)) = s_{E_{\curlywedge,S}}(e(v)) = v$ for all $v \in S$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let E and F be graphs, S_1 be a nonempty subset of E^0_{sink}, S_2 be a nonempty subset of F^0_{sink}. Suppose there exist a bijection $w\colon S_1 \to S_2$ and a diagonal preserving *-isomorphism $\Phi\colon C^*(E) \to C^*(F)$ such that $\Phi(P_v) = Q_{w(v)}$ for all $v \in S_1$, where $\left\{P_v, S_e \;\middle|\; v \in E^0, e \in E^1\right\}$ is a Cuntz-Krieger E-family generating $C^*(E)$ and $\left\{Q_v, T_e \;\middle|\; v \in F^0, e \in F\right\}$ is a Cuntz-Krieger F-family generating $C^*(F)$. Then there exists a diagonal preserving *-isomorphism $\Psi\colon C^*(E_{\curlywedge,S_1}) \to C^*(F_{\curlywedge,S_2})$.*

Proof. Let $\left\{p_v, s_e \;\middle|\; v \in E^0_{\curlywedge,S_1}, e \in E^1_{\curlywedge,S_1}\right\}$ be a Cuntz-Krieger $E_{\curlywedge,S_1}$-family generating $C^*(E_{\curlywedge,S_1})$ and $\left\{q_v, t_e \;\middle|\; v \in F^0_{\curlywedge,S_2}, e \in F^0_{\curlywedge,S_2}\right\}$ be a Cuntz-Krieger $F_{\curlywedge,S_2}$-family generating $C^*(F_{\curlywedge,S_2})$. Clearly,

$$\left\{p_v, s_e \;\middle|\; v \in E^0, e \in E^1\right\}$$

is a Cuntz-Krieger E-family in $C^*(E_{\curlywedge,S_1})$. Therefore, there exists a *-homomorphism $\Phi_1\colon C^*(E) \to C^*(E_{\curlywedge,S_1})$ such that $\Phi_1(P_v) = p_v$ and $\Phi_1(S_e) = s_e$ for all $v \in E^0$ and $e \in E^1$. For all $\mu \in E^*$, $\Phi_1(S_\mu) = s_\mu$. Hence, for μ a vertex-simple cycle in E with no exits, μ is a vertex-simple cycle in $E_{\curlywedge,S_1}$ with no exits, and so $\Phi_1(S_\mu) = s_\mu$ is a partial unitary with spectrum equal to $\mathbb{T}$. And since $\Phi_1(P_v) \neq 0$ for all $v \in E^0$, by [Szy02, Theorem 1.2], we have that Φ_1 is injective.

Similarly, there exists an injective *-homomorphism $\Phi_2\colon C^*(F) \to C^*(F_{\curlywedge,S_2})$ such that $\Phi_2(Q_v) = q_v$ and $\Phi_2(T_e) = t_e$ for all $v \in F^0$ and $e \in F^1$.

Set $\mathfrak{A} = \Phi_1(C^*(E))$ and $\mathfrak{B} = \Phi_2(C^*(F))$. Note that $\mathfrak{A}$ is the C^*-subalgebra of $C^*(E_{\curlywedge,S_1})$ generated by $\{p_v, s_e \mid v \in E^0, e \in E^1\}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ is the C^*-subalgebra of $C^*(F_{\curlywedge,S_1})$ generated by $\{q_v, t_e \mid v \in F^0, e \in F^1\}$. Moreover,

$$\Phi_1(\mathcal{D}(E)) = \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{s_\mu s_\mu^* \mid \mu \in E^*\}$$

which we denote by $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{A})$ and

$$\Phi_2(\mathcal{D}(F)) = \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{t_\mu t_\mu^* \mid \mu \in F^*\}$$

which we denote by $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{B})$. Therefore, Φ induces a *-isomorphism $\widetilde{\Phi}\colon \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{B}$ such that $\widetilde{\Phi}(\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{A})) = \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{B})$ and $\widetilde{\Phi}(p_v) = q_{w(v)}$ for all $v \in S_1$.

For $v \in E^0_{\curlywedge,S_1}$, set $\overline{p}_v = \widetilde{\Phi}(p_v)$ and for $e \in E^1_{\curlywedge,S_1}$, set

$$\overline{s}_e = \begin{cases} \widetilde{\Phi}(s_e) & \text{if } e \in E^1 \\ t_{e(w(v))} & \text{if } e = e(v) \text{ for some } v \in S_1. \end{cases}$$

One can check that $\{\overline{p}_v, \overline{s}_e \mid v \in E^0_{\curlywedge,S_1}, e \in E^1_{\curlywedge,S_1}\}$ is a Cuntz-Krieger $E_{\curlywedge,S_1}$-family in $C^*(F_{\curlywedge,S_2})$. Hence, there exists a *-homomorphism $\Psi\colon C^*(E_{\curlywedge,S_1}) \to C^*(F_{\curlywedge,S_2})$ such that $\Psi(p_v) = \overline{p}_v$ and $\Psi(s_e) = \overline{s}_e$ for all $v \in E^0_{\curlywedge,S_1}$ and $e \in E^1_{\curlywedge,S_1}$. We claim that Ψ is a *-isomorphism.

First we show that Ψ is surjective. Let $w \in F^0_{\curlywedge,S_2}$. Since $F^0_{\curlywedge,S_2} = F^0$, we have that $w \in F^0$. Thus, q_w is in the image of $\widetilde{\Phi}$ and hence q_w is in the image of Ψ. Let $e \in F^1_{\curlywedge,S_2}$. Suppose $e \in F^1$. Then t_e is in the image of $\widetilde{\Phi}$ which implies that t_e is in the image of Ψ. Suppose $e = e(z)$ for some $z \in S_2$. Since $w\colon S_1 \to S_2$ is a bijection, $z = w(v)$ for some $v \in S_1$. Hence, $\Psi(s_{e(v)}) = t_{e(w(v))} = t_{e(z)} = t_e$. Thus, Ψ is surjective.

To show that Ψ is injective, we will first show that for every vertex-simple cycle μ in $E_{\curlywedge,S_1}$ with no exits, $\Psi(s_\mu)$ is a partial unitary with spectrum equal to $\mathbb{T}$. Let μ be a vertex-simple cycle in $E_{\curlywedge,S_1}$ with no exits. Note from the construction of $E_{\curlywedge,S_1}$, μ is either a vertex-simple cycle in E with no exits or $\mu = e(v)$ for some $v \in S_1$. Suppose μ is a vertex-simple cycle in E with no exits. Then $\Psi(s_\mu) = \widetilde{\Phi}(s_\mu)$, and since $\widetilde{\Phi}$ is a *-isomorphism, $\Psi(s_\mu) = \widetilde{\Phi}(s_\mu)$ is a partial unitary with spectrum equal to $\mathbb{T}$. Suppose $\mu = e(v)$ for some $v \in S_1$. Then $\Psi(s_\mu) = t_{e(w(v))}$ which is a partial unitary with spectrum equal to $\mathbb{T}$. Since $\Psi(p_v) \neq 0$ for all $v \in E^0_{\curlywedge,S_1}$, by [Szy02, Theorem 1.2] Ψ is injective.

We have just shown that Ψ is a *-isomorphism. We are left with showing that $\Psi(\mathcal{D}(E_{\curlywedge,S_1})) = \mathcal{D}(F_{\curlywedge,S_2})$. Noting that $s_{\mu e(v)^n} s^*_{\mu e(v)^n} = s_\mu s^*_\mu$ for all $v \in S_1$ and $\mu \in E^*$ with $r(\mu) = v$, we have that $\mathcal{D}(E_{\curlywedge,S_1}) = \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{A})$. Similarly, $\mathcal{D}(F_{\curlywedge,S_2}) = \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{B})$. It is now clear that $\Psi(\mathcal{D}(E_{\curlywedge,S_1})) = \mathcal{D}(F_{\curlywedge,S_2})$ since $\widetilde{\Phi}(\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{A})) = \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{B})$. □

To use the above result to prove that an orbit equivalence preserving periodic points implies diagonal preserving isomorphism, we must show that we may reduce the problem to graphs satisfying the property that all vertex-simple cycles with no exits are loops. These are the loops that we will unplug, and then plug again.

Proposition 5.2. *Let E be a graph. Then there exists a graph F such that each vertex-simple cycle in F with no exits is a loop and there exists a diagonal preserving *-isomorphism $\Psi\colon C^*(F) \to C^*(E)$.*

Proof. For each cycle μ, let V_μ be the vertices that support the cycle μ. If μ and ν are vertex-simple cycles in E with no exits, then $V_\mu = V_\nu$ if and only if $V_\mu \cap V_\nu \neq \emptyset$. Define a relation $\approx$ on the vertex-simple cycles in E with no exits by

$\mu \approx \nu$ if $V_\mu = V_\nu$. Clearly, $\approx$ is an equivalence relation, and we may pick a set $\mathcal{F}$ of representatives of the equivalence classes. Then $\mathcal{F} \subseteq E^*$ such that

(a) For each $\mu \in \mathcal{F}$, μ is a vertex-simple cycle with no exits;
(b) For each $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{F}$, $V_\mu \cap V_\nu \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\mu = \nu$; and
(c) For each vertex-simple cycle μ in E with no exits, there exists $\nu \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $V_\mu = V_\nu$.

Set $S = \{e \in E^1 \mid s(e) = s(\nu) \text{ for some } \nu \in \mathcal{F}\}$. Define F by $F^0 = E^0$, $F^1 = (E^1 \backslash S) \sqcup \{\overline{\nu} \mid \nu \in \mathcal{F}\}$, and $r_F|_{E^1\backslash S} = r_E|_{E^1\backslash S}$, $s_F|_{E^1\backslash S} = s_E|_{E^1\backslash S}$, $r_F(\overline{\nu}) = s_F(\overline{\nu}) = s_E(\nu)$ for all $\nu \in \mathcal{F}$. It is clear from the construction of F, that each vertex-simple cycle in F with no exits is a loop. In fact, the vertex-simple cycles with no exits are $\{\overline{\nu} \mid \nu \in \mathcal{F}\}$.

Let $\{p_v, s_e \mid v \in E^0, e \in E^1\}$ be a generating Cuntz-Krieger E-family in $C^*(E)$, and let $\{q_v, t_e \mid v \in F^0, e \in F^1\}$ be a generating Cuntz-Krieger F-family in $C^*(F)$. We now define a Cuntz-Krieger F-family in $C^*(E)$. For each $v \in F^0$, set $Q_v = p_v$ and for each $e \in E^1\backslash S$, set $T_e = s_e$. For $\nu \in \mathcal{F}$, set $T_{\overline{\nu}} = s_\nu$. A computation shows that $\{Q_v, T_e \mid v \in F^0, e \in F^1\}$ is a Cuntz-Krieger F-family in $C^*(E)$. Hence, there exists a *-homomorphism $\Psi\colon C^*(F) \to C^*(E)$ such that $\Psi(q_v) = Q_v$ and $\Psi(t_e) = T_e$ for all $v \in F^0$, $e \in F^1$.

Since the only vertex-simple cycles of F with no exits are the $\overline{\nu}$'s and $\Psi(t_{\overline{\nu}}) = s_\nu$ where ν is a vertex-simple cycle with no exits, $\Psi(t_{\overline{\nu}})$ is a partial unitary with spectrum equal to $\mathbb{T}$. Since $\Psi(q_v) = p_v \neq 0$ for all $v \in F^0$, by [Szy02, Theorem 1.2], Ψ is injective.

We now show that Ψ is surjective. Clearly, $p_v, s_e \in \operatorname{im}\Psi$, for all $v \in E^0$ and $e \in E^1\backslash S$. For each $\nu \in \mathcal{F}$, $s_E^{-1}(s_E(\nu)) = \{e_\nu\}$ for some $e_\nu \in E^1$ since ν is a vertex-simple cycle with no exits. So $S = \{e_\nu \mid \nu \in \mathcal{F}\}$. Let $\nu \in \mathcal{F}$. If $|\nu| = 1$ then $\nu = e_\nu$ so $s_{e_\nu} = T_{\overline{\nu}} \in \operatorname{im}\Psi$. Assume $|\nu| \geq 2$. Then $\nu = e_\nu\mu$ where $\mu = e_1 \cdots e_{|\nu|-1}$ with each e_i not an element of S. Hence, $s_{e_i} = T_{e_i} \in \operatorname{im}\Psi$ for each i. Since ν is a vertex-simple cycle with no exits, we have that $s_{e_i}s_{e_i}^* = p_{s_E(e_i)}$. We now have

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{e_\nu} &= s_{e_\nu}p_{s_E(e_1)} = s_{e_\nu}s_{e_1}s_{e_1}^* \\
&= s_{e_\nu}s_{e_1}p_{s_E(e_2)}s_{e_1}^* = s_{e_\nu}s_{e_1}s_{e_2}s_{e_2}^*s_{e_1}^* \\
&\vdots \\
&= s_{e_\nu}s_\mu s_\mu^* \\
&= s_\nu s_\mu^* \\
&= \Psi(t_{\overline{\nu}}t_\mu) \in \psi(C^*(F)).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Ψ is surjective, and hence Ψ is a *-isomorphism.

It is clear that $\Psi(\mathcal{D}(F)) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(E)$. Let $\mu \in E^*$. Note that $s_\mu s_\mu^* = s_\nu s_\nu^*$ for a path $\nu \in E^*$ where all edges of ν are not in S. Indeed, if $\mu = \nu e_1 \cdots e_n$ with $e_1 \in S$, $e_2, \ldots, e_n \in E^1$, and $\nu \in E^*$ where all edges of ν are not in S, then $s(e_i)E^1 = \{e_i\}$ for all i since $e_1 \in S$. So $p_{r(\nu)} = s_{e_1\cdots e_n}s_{e_1\cdots e_n}^*$, hence $s_\mu s_\mu^* = s_\nu s_\nu^*$. Therefore, $\Psi(t_\nu t_\nu^*) = s_\nu s_\nu^* = s_\mu s_\mu^*$. Hence, $\Psi(\mathcal{D}(F)) \supseteq \mathcal{D}(E)$, so Ψ is diagonal preserving. □

We provide an example to illustrate the construction in the proof of Proposition 5.2. Let E denote the left-most graph below. Then E contains four vertex-simple cycles with no exits. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\nu\}$ with $\nu = e_3e_4e_1e_2$. Then $S = \{e_3\}$ and

F is the right-most graph below.



We are now ready to prove our main result.

Theorem 5.3. *Let E and E' be graphs. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. *There exists a diagonal preserving *-isomorphism $\Psi\colon C^*(E) \to C^*(E')$.*
2. *$\mathcal{G}_E$ and $\mathcal{G}_{E'}$ are isomorphic.*
3. *There exists a homeomorphism $\kappa\colon \partial E \to \partial E'$ such that $\kappa \circ \mathcal{P}_E \circ \kappa^{-1} = \mathcal{P}_{E'}$ and for each $x \in \partial E_{\mathrm{iso}}$, x is eventually periodic if and only if $\kappa(x)$ is eventually periodic.*
4. *There exists an orbit equivalence from E to E' preserving periodic points.*

Proof. The equivalence of (1) and (2) is [BCW14, Theorem 5.1 (1) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (2)]. The equivalence of (3) and (4) follows from Proposition 3.8. We are left to showing that (1) and (4) are equivalent.

By Theorem 4.3, we get that (1) implies (4). We now prove (4) implies (1).

We will first show that we may assume that all vertex-simple cycles in E and E' with no exits are loops. Indeed, by Proposition 5.2, there are graphs E_1 and E_1' such that all vertex-simple cycles in E_1 and E_1' with no exits are loops, and diagonal preserving *-isomorphisms from $C^*(E)$ to $C^*(E_1)$ and from $C^*(E')$ to $C^*(E_1')$. By Theorem 4.3, there exists an orbit equivalence from E to E_1 preserving periodic points and there exists an orbit equivalence from E' to E_1' preserving periodic points. By Proposition 3.9, there exists an orbit equivalence from E_1 to E_1' preserving periodic points if and only if there is an orbit equivalence from E to E' preserving periodic points. And clearly, there is a diagonal preserving *-isomorphism from $C^*(E_1)$ to $C^*(E_1')$ if and only if there is one from $C^*(E)$ to $C^*(E')$. This establishes the claim.

Assume all vertex-simple cycles in E and E' with no exits are loops, and that there exists an orbit equivalence β from E to E' preserving periodic points. Let $\kappa_E\colon \partial E_\Upsilon \to \partial E$ and $\kappa_{E'}\colon \partial E'_\Upsilon \to \partial E'$ be the orbit equivalences provided in Proposition 3.11. Then by Propostion 3.9, $\lambda = \kappa_{E'}^{-1} \circ \beta \circ \kappa_E\colon \partial E_\Upsilon \to \partial E'_\Upsilon$ is an orbit equivalence. Let $V = \left\{ v \in E^0_{\mathrm{cycle}} \;\middle|\; \lambda(v) \in (\partial E'_\Upsilon)^{\geq 1} \right\}$. Set $F = \lambda(V)$. By Lemma 2.7, V is closed in ∂E_Υ. Since λ is a homeomorphism, we have that F is closed in $\partial E'_\Upsilon$. Hence, F satisfies (3) in Lemma 3.12.

Let $v \in E^0_{\mathrm{cycle}}$. Then, $\kappa_E(v) = e_v^\infty$. Since β is an orbit equivalence preserving periodic points, there exist $w \in (E')^0_{\mathrm{cycle}}$ and $\mu \in (E')^*$ with no edges equal to e_w and $r_{E'}(\mu) = w$ such that $\beta(e_v^\infty) = \mu e_w^\infty$. So, in particular, $\mu \in (E'_\Upsilon)^*$ with $r_{E'}(\mu) \in (E')^0_{\mathrm{cycle}}$. Therefore, $\lambda(v) = \kappa_{E'}^{-1}(\beta(\kappa_E(v))) = \kappa_{E'}^{-1}(\mu e_w^\infty) = \mu$. Hence, $r_{E'_\Upsilon}(\lambda(E^0_{\mathrm{cycle}})) \subseteq (E')^0_{\mathrm{cycle}}$. In particular, $F \subseteq (E'_\Upsilon)^{\geq 1}$ with $r_{E'_\Upsilon}(F) \subseteq (E'_\Upsilon)^0_{\mathrm{sink}}$, so F satisfies (1) in Lemma 3.12. A similar argument using λ^{-1} shows that $r_{E_\Upsilon}(\lambda^{-1}((E')^0_{\mathrm{cycle}})) \subseteq E^0_{\mathrm{cycle}}$.

Let $v \in (E')^0_{\text{cycle}}$. Then $\lambda^{-1}(v) = \mu \in E_\Upsilon^*$ with $r_E(\mu) = w \in E^0_{\text{cycle}}$ and $\beta(\mu e^\infty_w) = e^\infty_v$. By [BCW14, Lemma 3.5], there exist $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$\sigma^n_{E'}(\beta(\sigma^{|\mu|}_E(\mu e^\infty_w))) = \sigma^n_{E'}(\beta(\mu e^\infty_w)).$$

As $\sigma^n_{E'}(\beta(\mu e^\infty_w)) = e^\infty_v$, $\beta(e^\infty_w) = \nu e^\infty_v$ for some $\nu \in (E')^*$ with $r_{E'}(\nu) = v$ and no edges in ν equal to e_v. Hence $\lambda(w) = \nu$ with $r_{E'_\Upsilon}(\nu) = v$, so

$$r_{E'_\Upsilon}(\lambda(r_{E_\Upsilon}(\lambda^{-1}(v)))) = r_{E'_\Upsilon}(\lambda(r_{E_\Upsilon}(\mu))) = r_{E'_\Upsilon}(\lambda(w)) = v.$$

Applying this to $r_{E_\Upsilon}(\lambda^{-1}((E')^0_{\text{cycle}})) \subseteq E^0_{\text{cycle}}$ we see that $(E')^0_{\text{cycle}} \subseteq r_{E'_\Upsilon}(\lambda(E^0_{\text{cycle}}))$ and conclude that $(E')^0_{\text{cycle}} = r_{E'_\Upsilon}(\lambda(E^0_{\text{cycle}}))$.

We now show that F satisfies (2) in Lemma 3.12. Let $v_1, v_2 \in E^0_{\text{cycle}}$ and assume that $r_{E'_\Upsilon}(\lambda(v_1)) = r_{E'_\Upsilon}(\lambda(v_2))$. We will show that $\lambda(v_1) = \lambda(v_2)$. Then $\beta(e^\infty_{v_1}) = \mu_1 e^\infty_{w_1}$ and $\beta(e^\infty_{v_2}) = \mu_2 e^\infty_{w_2}$ where $w_i \in (E')^0_{\text{cycle}}$, $\mu_i \in (E')^*$, no edges in μ_i are equal to e_{w_i} and $r_{E'}(\mu_i) = w_i$. So, $\lambda(v_i) = \mu_i$, which implies that $r_{E'_\Upsilon}(\lambda(v_i)) = w_i$. So $w_1 = w_2$. Since β is an orbit equivalence, by [BCW14, Lemma 3.5], there exist $n_1, m_1, n_2, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$\sigma^{n_i}_E(\beta^{-1}(\sigma^{|\mu_i|}_F(\mu_i e^\infty_{w_1}))) = \sigma^{m_i}_E(\beta^{-1}(\mu_i e^\infty_{w_1}))$$

for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Thus,

$$e^\infty_{v_1} = \sigma^{n_2}_E(e^\infty_{v_1}) = \sigma^{n_1+n_2}_E(\beta^{-1}(e^\infty_{w_1})) = \sigma^{n_1}_E(e^\infty_{v_2}) = e^\infty_{v_2}.$$

This implies that $v_1 = v_2$. We have just shown that F satisfies (2) in Lemma 3.12.

Let $\kappa\colon \partial E'_\Upsilon \to \partial E'_\Upsilon$ be the orbit equivalence given in Lemma 3.12 for the graph E'_Υ and the set F. Then $\gamma = \kappa \circ \lambda$ is an orbit equivalence from ∂E_Υ to $\partial E'_\Upsilon$. Let $v \in E^0_{\text{cycle}}$. If $\lambda(v) \in F$ then $\kappa(\lambda(v)) = r_{E'_\Upsilon}(\lambda(v))$. If $\lambda(v) \notin F$ then $\lambda(v) = r_{E'_\Upsilon}(\lambda(v)) \notin r_{E'_\Upsilon}(F)$ as we saw above that $r_{E'_\Upsilon}(\lambda(v)) = r_{E'_\Upsilon}(\lambda(w))$ implies $v = w$ for all $w \in E^0_{\text{cycle}}$. Either way, $\gamma(v) = \kappa(\lambda(v)) = r_{E'_\Upsilon}(\lambda(v))$. Since $r_{E'_\Upsilon}(\lambda(E^0_{\text{cycle}})) = (E')^0_{\text{cycle}}$, we have that $\gamma(E^0_{\text{cycle}}) = (E')^0_{\text{cycle}} \subseteq (E'_\Upsilon)^0_{\text{sink}}$. Since E_Υ and E'_Υ are graphs satisfying Condition (L), by Theorem 3.10, there exists an isomorphism $\varphi\colon \mathcal{G}_{E_\Upsilon} \to \mathcal{G}_{E'_\Upsilon}$ such that $\varphi|_{\partial E_\Upsilon} = \gamma$. By [BCW14, Proposition 2.2], this isomorphism of groupoids induces a *-isomorphism $\Phi\colon C^*(E_\Upsilon) \to C^*(E'_\Upsilon)$ such that $\Phi(\mathcal{D}(E_\Upsilon)) = \mathcal{D}(E'_\Upsilon)$ and $\Phi(p_v) = q_{\gamma(v)}$ for all $v \in E^0_{\text{cycle}}$, where $\{p_v, s_e \mid v \in E^0_\Upsilon, e \in E^1_\Upsilon\}$ is a Cuntz-Krieger E_Υ-family generating $C^*(E_\Upsilon)$ and $\{q_v, t_e \mid v \in (E'_\Upsilon)^0, e \in (E'_\Upsilon)^1\}$ is a Cuntz-Krieger E'_Υ-family generating $C^*(E'_\Upsilon)$. Since $(E_\Upsilon)_{\lambda, E^0_{\text{cycle}}} \cong E$ and $(E'_\Upsilon)_{\lambda, (E')^0_{\text{cycle}}} \cong E'$, Proposition 5.1 implies that there exists a diagonal preserving *-isomorphism $\Psi\colon C^*(E) \to C^*(E')$. Hence (4) implies (1). □

We will denote the C^*-algebra of compact operators on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ by $\mathbb{K}$ and the maximal abelian subalgebra of $\mathbb{K}$ consisting of diagonal operators by $\mathcal{C}$. For a commutative ring R with identity, we write $\mathsf{M}_\infty(R)$ for the ring of finitely supported, countably infinite square matrices over R and $\mathsf{D}_\infty(R)$ for the abelian subring of $\mathsf{M}_\infty(R)$ consisting of diagonal matrices.

We write $(C^*(E), \mathcal{D}(E)) \cong (C^*(F), \mathcal{D}(F))$ if there exists a diagonal preserving *-isomorphism Ψ from $C^*(E)$ to $C^*(F)$, and write $(C^*(E) \otimes \mathbb{K}, \mathcal{D}(E) \otimes \mathcal{C}) \cong (C^*(F) \otimes \mathbb{K}, \mathcal{D}(F) \otimes \mathcal{C})$ if there exists a *-isomorphism from Ψ from $C^*(E) \otimes \mathbb{K}$ to $C^*(F) \otimes \mathbb{K}$ such that $\Psi(\mathcal{D}(E) \otimes \mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{D}(F) \otimes \mathcal{C}$.

Let R be a commutative ring with identity. If there is a ring *-isomorphism Ψ from $L_R(E)$ to $L_R(F)$ such that $\Psi(\mathcal{D}_R(E)) = \mathcal{D}_R(F)$, then we write

$$(L_R(E), \mathcal{D}_R(E)) \cong (L_R(F), \mathcal{D}_R(F)).$$

Similarly, if there is a ring *-isomorphism Ψ from $L_R(E) \otimes \mathsf{M}_\infty(R)$ to $L_R(F) \otimes \mathsf{M}_\infty(R)$ such that $\Psi(\mathcal{D}_R(E) \otimes \mathsf{D}_\infty(R)) = \mathcal{D}_R(F) \otimes \mathsf{D}_\infty(R)$, then we write

$$(L_R(E) \otimes \mathsf{M}_\infty(R), \mathcal{D}_R(E) \otimes \mathsf{D}_\infty(R)) \cong (L_R(F) \otimes \mathsf{M}_\infty(R), \mathcal{D}_R(F) \otimes \mathsf{D}_\infty(R)).$$

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the full equivalence relation on $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. We can regard $\mathcal{R}$ as a discrete principal groupoid with unit space $\mathbb{N}$.

Corollary 5.4. *Let E and F be graphs, and let R be a commutative integral domain with 1. The following are equivalent:*

(1) $(C^*(E) \otimes \mathbb{K}, \mathcal{D}(E) \otimes \mathcal{C}) \cong (C^*(F) \otimes \mathbb{K}, \mathcal{D}(F) \otimes \mathcal{C})$;
(2) $(L_R(E) \otimes \mathsf{M}_\infty(R), \mathcal{D}_R(E) \otimes \mathsf{D}_\infty(R)) \cong (L_R(F) \otimes \mathsf{M}_\infty(R), \mathcal{D}_R(F) \otimes \mathsf{D}_\infty(R))$;
(3) $(C^*(SE), \mathcal{D}(SE)) \cong (C^*(SF), \mathcal{D}(SF))$;
(4) $(L_R(SE), \mathcal{D}_R(SE)) \cong (L_R(SF), \mathcal{D}_R(SF))$;
(5) $\mathcal{G}_E \times \mathcal{R} \cong \mathcal{G}_F \times \mathcal{R}$;
(6) $\mathcal{G}_{SE} \cong \mathcal{G}_{SF}$;
(7) There exists an orbit equivalence from SE to SF preserving periodic points.

Proof. By [CRS16, Theorem 4.2], (1) through (6) are equivalent. (6) $\iff$ (7) follows from Theorem 5.3 for the graphs SE and SF. □

We end by noting that the results above combine with [ERRS16] to completely resolve the relationship between orbit and flow equivalence for countable shift spaces.

Corollary 5.5. *Let E and F be finite graphs with no sinks and sources such that the edge shift spaces X_E and X_F are countable sets.*

(1) If E and F are orbit equivalent, then X_E and X_F are flow equivalent.
(2) SE and SF are orbit equivalent if and only if X_E and X_F are flow equivalent.

Proof. Suppose E and F are orbit equivalent. Since E and F have no sinks, there exists an orbit equivalence from E to F preserving periodic points. Hence, by Theorem 5.3, $C^*(E) \cong C^*(F)$. One easily sees by contradiction that the asserted countability translates to the condition that every vertex of E and F either supports exactly one return path or does not support a return path. Hence, [ERRS16, Theorem 7.1 (3) $\implies$ (4)] applies, and thus the shift spaces X_E and X_F are flow equivalent, proving (1).

For (2), we note that if SE and SF are orbit equivalent then by Corollary 5.4, $C^*(E) \otimes \mathbb{K} \cong C^*(F) \otimes \mathbb{K}$, and the forward implication follows as above. In the other direction, suppose X_E and X_F are flow equivalent. By [ERRS16, Lemma 5.1], then E and F are Move equivalent. By [CRS16, Corollary 4.8], there exists a diagonal preserving isomorphism from $C^*(E) \otimes \mathbb{K}$ to $C^*(F) \otimes \mathbb{K}$. Hence, by Corollary 5.4, SE and SF are orbit equivalent. □

6. Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by the Danish National Research Foundation through the Centre for Symmetry and Deformation (DNRF92), by VILLUM FONDEN through the network for Experimental Mathematics in Number Theory, Operator Algebras, and Topology, and by a grant from the Simons Foundation (# 279369 to Efren Ruiz).

This work was completed while all three authors were attending the research program *Classification of operator algebras: complexity, rigidity, and dynamics* at the Mittag-Leffler Institute, January–April 2016. We thank the institute and its staff for the excellent work conditions provided.

The authors thank Aidan Sims for many helpful discussions.

References

[ADR00] C. Anantharaman-Delaroche and J. Renault, *Amenable groupoids*, Monographies de L'Enseignement Mathématique [Monographs of L'Enseignement Mathématique], vol. 36, L'Enseignement Mathématique, Geneva, 2000, With a foreword by Georges Skandalis and Appendix B by E. Germain.

[BCW14] Nathan Brownlowe, Toke Meier Carlsen, and Michael F. Whittaker, *Graph algebras and orbit equivalence*, to appear in Ergod. Theory and Dynam. Systems, doi:10.1017/etds.2015.52.

[CRS16] Toke Meier Carlsen, Efren Ruiz, and Aidan Sims, *Equivalence and stable isomorphism of groupoids, and diagonal-preserving stable isomorphisms of graph C^*-algebras and Leavitt path algebras*, eprint arXiv:1602.02602 (2016).

[CW16] Toke M. Carsen and Marius L. Winger, *Orbit equivalence of graphs and isomorphism of graph groupoids*, private communication, 2016.

[ERRS16] Søren Eilers, Gunnar Restorff, Efren Ruiz, and Adam P. W. Sørensen, *Geometric classification of graph C^*-algebras over finite graphs*, eprint arXiv:1604.05439, 2016.

[Mat13] Kengo Matsumoto, *Classification of Cuntz-Krieger algebras by orbit equivalence of topological Markov shifts*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **141** (2013), 2329–2342.

[MM14] Kengo Matsumoto and Hiroki Matui, *Continuous orbit equivalence of topological Markov shifts and Cuntz-Krieger algebras*, Kyoto J. Math. **54** (2014), no. 4, 863–877, doi:10.1215/21562261-2801849.

[Ren08] Jean Renault, *Cartan subalgebras in C^*-algebras*, Irish Math. Soc. Bull. (2008), no. 61, 29–63.

[Rør95] Mikael Rørdam, *Classification of Cuntz-Krieger algebras*, K-Theory **9** (1995), no. 1, 31–58, doi:10.1007/BF00965458.

[Szy02] Wojciech Szymański, *General Cuntz-Krieger uniqueness theorem*, Internat. J. Math. **13** (2002), no. 5, 549–555, doi:10.1142/S0129167X0200137X.

[Web14] Samuel B. G. Webster, *The path space of a directed graph*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **142** (2014), no. 1, 213–225, doi:10.1090/S0002-9939-2013-11755-7.

[Yee07] Trent Yeend, *Groupoid models for the C^*-algebras of topological higher-rank graphs*, J. Operator Theory **57** (2007), no. 1, 95–120.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 5, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

E-mail address: arklint@math.ku.dk

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 5, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

E-mail address: eilers@math.ku.dk

Department of Mathematics, University of Hawaii, Hilo, 200 W. Kawili St., Hilo, Hawaii, 96720-4091 USA

E-mail address: ruize@hawaii.edu